MARS SURVEYOR PROJECT LANDING SITE ACTIVITIES 1999

Virginia C. Gulick, NASA-Ames Research Center, MS 245-3, Moffett Field, CA 94035; vgulick@mail.arc.nasa.gov; Geoffrey Briggs, NASA-Ames Research Center, MS 239-20, Moffett Field, CA 94035; R. Stephen Saunders, Martha Gilmore, Jet Propulsion Laboratory, MS 183-335, 4800 Oak Grove Drive, Pasadena, CA 91109; and Larry Soderblom US Geological Survey 2255 N. Gemini Drive Flagstaff, AZ 86001.

The Mars Surveyor Program --now a cooperative program led by NASA and CNES along with other international partners -- is underway. It has the primary science objective of furthering our understanding of the biological potential and possible biological history of Mars and has the complementary objective of improving our understanding of martian climate evolution and planetary history. The missions will develop technology and acquire data necessary for eventual human exploration. Launches of orbiters, landers and rovers will take place in 2001 and in 2003; in 2005 a complete system will be launched capable of returning samples to Earth by 2008.

A key aspect of the program is the selection of landing sites. This abstract 1) reports on the catus of the landing site selection process that begins with the 2001 lander mission and 2) outlines be opportunities for the Mars community to provide input into the landing site selection process.

Background

Nominally, each mission includes at least a lander and a rover, both instrumented. Currently, to 2001 mission (http://mars.jpl.nasa.gov/2001/index.html) includes a lander carrying a package to measure the surface radiation environment and to extract oxygen from the atmosphere along instruments to be flown on the 2003 Athena rover: PanCam, a panoramic camera, MiniTES, an infrared spectrometer, and a Mossbauer spectrometer. The lander will have a robotic arm and arm camera adapted from the Mars Surveyor '98 mission. The lander will also carry a descent imager to support the 2001 rover, named Marie Curie, which will be essentially the same as Pathfinder's Sojourner rover but with improved, calibrated APXS.

The 2003 rover, named Athena, will be larger, more sophisticated, more capable, and have to aquire surface materials for launch to, and to cache in, Mars orbit. The 2005 rover will te a near copy of Athena and its collected samples will be launched to Mars orbit for recovery along with the Athena samples and transfer back to Earth

The Athena rover science goal is to "determine the geologic and climatic history of a site in the ancient highlands where conditions may have been favorable to the preservation of evidence of possible pre-biotic or biotic processes". To do so the rover objective is to identify, collect, and store mertian rocks and soils that have the highest possible chance of preserving evidence of ancient wironmental conditions.

Leading Site Engineering Constraints

Three mission aspects drive the landing site selection: (1) The ability of the lander to reach landing site; (2) the safety of the landing site; and (3) the scientific characteristics of the landing The engineering constraints (1 and 2) are set by orbital mechanics, by the capabilities of the entry and descent system, and by the characteristics of the rocky martian surface. Table I marizes the current engineering constraints for the 2001 mission. The size of the landing ellipse the rover mobility (~ 1km for Athena, 10's of meters for Marie Curie) control how localized the region may be if it is to be successfully sampled. An areally-extensive target, for example, imizes the probability that the lander will land on or near the target and minimizes the required traverse distance. A precision landing system, if developed, will minimize the landing ellipse greatly help in the investigation of sites that are small in areal extent.

letection a

ı high ener nergy will he round e subsur i proportio nity is loc action of much we surface la transmis the Mate

ising dop num dyn the frequ er worst dely vari l loss tar e level of eflected] e, than it

and the

eveforms

the obse

off-nadi e limite g agains scattere

of subse ange sig will be inique X rack of

limited track

a sec under

Landing Site Selection Procedure

The responsibility for the landing site selection rests with the Surveyor Project Office at JPL and the Project Scientists involved. For the 2001 mission the Project Scientist has appointed a Steering Committee to assess and recommend candidate sites. The Project Scientist is supported by astrobiologists at NASA's Ames Research Center through its Center for Mars Exploration (CMEX) and by the USGS's Astrogeology Branch in Flagstaff, Arizona. CMEX is responsible for providing an interface with the general Mars science community and assisting in the overall coordination of the landing site selection process. To this end CMEX will host workshops and provide a web site and other support to ensure the participation of the general Mars community. The US Geological Survey has responsibility to compile and provide cartographic databases for potential sites, to assemble the engineering requirements associated with the landing site (e.g., altitude, rock frequency), and to evaluate potential sites in light of these constraints.

Table II presents the current preliminary schedule outlining landing site selection mileston for the 2001 mission. A similar procedure is expected to be followed for the subsequent landing selection processes.

Results of First Landing Site Workshop

Ames hosted a first Surveyor landing site workshop in January 1998. Abstracts from meeting have been posted to the web and can be found http://cmex.arc.nasa.gov/Mars_2001/2001_abs_vol.html.

A primary goal of the workshop was to choose a latitude band in which the most promissites lie in order to facilitate engineering design studies. Of the 65 landing sites presented at meeting, 51 fell into the latitude band between 5N to 15S. At the time of the meeting, the focus on choosing a landing site for the 2001 mission under the assumption that the Athena rover would on that mission. While this is no longer the case, the range of proposed sites provides insight into selection process.

Most sites fell into one of 5 broad categories:

- (1) basins & lakes:
- (2) sites of potential hydrothermal mineralization;
- (3) highland/lowland boundary sites;
- (4) sites at channel mouths or deltaic deposits; and
- (5) younger impact crater on older material.

In general each site presented one or more of the following characteristics:

- (1) evidence of water.
- (2) fossil concentration mechanism,
- (3) thermal energy source.
- (4) a preservation mechanism (e.g., rapid burial, mineralization), and
- (5) an excavation mechanism (e.g., crater, erosion).

Suggested sites representing some of the broad catergories listed above include W. Arabia Mangala Valles, "White Rock" Crater, Kayne Crater, and Apollinaris Patera.

The next workshop will be held in the summer of 1999 with additional annual workshop follow. Individuals interested in subscribing to the site selection mailing list should contact Vi Gulick (vgulick@mail.arc.nasa.gov).

office inted upporteration consib

erall
ops and
munity
for por

on miles

cts from

st promis sented at ne focus er would sight into Table I: Engineering Constraints Input for '01 mission

Table I: Engineering	Constraints input	Comment
English Control of the Control of th	Current value	
Constraint	15°S to 15°N	will be narrowed
Constructed band: Landing ellipse: ballistic:	120 × 20 km	this has not been finalized
precision:	20 × 20 km	
precision.	<11°	
Slopes	low	
Albedo Thermal inertia	high <1% chance of rock >35 cm	
Rock abundance:	<176 Chance of rook	

Table II: Preliminary Schedule: Mars Program Site Selection Process For 2001

	Table II: Preliminary Schedule: Ma	Responsibility S	chedule
	MGS Assessment Phase SPO-1 Ends	MSOP S	.0/13 - 11/7/97 5/1/98 5/26/98 -
	MGS Assessment Phase CD available Identify Engineering Constraints	PDS	9/11/98 7/1/98 10/98
	elevation, etc.) Collect Surface Environmental Data (Roughness, rocks, topography,	MEDSO	10/97 - 11/98
design a supplied and a supplied in the supplied and a supplied in the supplin	slopes, thermal inertia) SPO-1 Release to Archive Assembly of existing data MSP'98 Orbiter Launch Solicitation of Science Community for	MSOP PSG NASA Project	10/15/98 12/1/98 12/10/98 12/98
	Additional Landing Sites Steering Group Committee Meeting MSP'98 Lander Launch MSP'98 Ops MSP'98 Release to Archive	Steering Group NASA MSOP MSOP	1/99 1/3/99 1/00 - 12/01 12/00, 6/01, 12/01
	SPO-2 Release to Archive Steering Group Committee meeting	MSOP Steering Group	1/15/99 3/99
	(LPSC) MGS Mapping begins MGS Data Releases Collect MOC data from some selected	MSOP MSOP	4/1/99 10/1/99, 4/1/00 4/99 - 6/99
	targets, analyze MOC data Science Evaluation Landing Site Workshop	Science Community Science Community	1/98, 7/99, 1/00
	Initial selection of a few sites Focus study on selected sites	Steering Group Steering Group and	7/99, 1/00 d 7/99 - 12/99

rabia Ten

orkshops:

Science Community

Final Project Selection PSG, Project, MED 3/00
NASA selection/approval NASA 4/00
MSP'01 Lander Launch NASA 4/7/01

MSP = Mars Surveyor Program; PDS = Planetary Data System; MEDSO = Mars Exploration Division Science Office; MGS = Mars Global Surveyor; PSG = Project Science Group; MED= Mars Exploration Division